

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/759,913	01/12/2001	David P. Biss	176/60930	2814
7:	590 11/25/2002			
Gunnar G. Leinberg, Esq.			EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY LLP SHAFER, RICK			RICKY D	
Clinton Square				
P.O. Box 31051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY	14603		2872	

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ML
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Anti-	09/759,91	3 BLISS ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	3 BLISS ET AL Group Art Unit	
	RD.S.	MAFER 2872	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover shee	t beneath the correspondence address —	
P riod for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 1 mon	☐☐ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DA	ATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory ult, expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the applicati	minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time S from the mailing date of this communication. on to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	Hy.
Status	11.0		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	6/02		<u></u> ·
☐ This action is FINAL.			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	pt for formal matters, p 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	prosecution as to the merits is closed in 213.	
Disposition of Claims			,
Of the above claim(s) 1 - 8 7	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s)	· ·	÷ ,	
□ Claim(s) 62 - 87	is/are objected to.	is/are objected to.	
▼ Claim(s) 62 - 8 /	are subject to restriction or election		
Application Papers	_	requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	, ,	• •	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection is/are objection	ected to by the Examir	ıer	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9 (a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	• •		
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer			
in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received:	•	` "	
Atta hment(s)		•	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)	☐ Int rvi w Summary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	·		
•••	□ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTC		
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w, PTO-9	48 [☐ Other	

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/579,913

Art Unit: 2872

-

- 1. Applicant's election of invention/species "D", depicted by Fig. 4 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 1-61 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention/species. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - 1). The polarization converter depicted by Fig. 1;
 - 2). The polarization converter depicted by Fig. 2; and
 - 3). The polarization converter depicted by. Fig. 3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 62 and 77 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/579,913

Art Unit: 2872

شا. در

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Art Unit: 2872

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R.D. Shafer at telephone number (703) 308-4813.

RDS

November 17, 2002

7872